

## Appendix 1

### BICESTER REGENERATION PROJECTS SUMMARY

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Budget £000's	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key
Bicester Regeneration Projects	(483)	(483)	0	G	(311)	(311)	0	G
<b>BICESTER REGENERATION PROJECT</b>	<b>(483)</b>	<b>(483)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>(311)</b>	<b>(311)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>G</b>

Reasons for major variance :

Concern Key (based on YTD budget)

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	A
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	A
Anything else	G

## COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENT SUMMARY

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Budget £000's	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key
Community Services	2,882	2,469	(413)	A	2,943	2,419	(524)	A
Environmental Services	3,310	3,640	330	R	3,915	4,308	393	R
<b>COMMUNITY &amp; ENVIRONMENT Tot</b>	<b>6,192</b>	<b>6,109</b>	<b>(83)</b>	G	<b>6,857</b>	<b>6,726</b>	<b>(131)</b>	G

### Reasons for major variance :

#### Community Services

Significant savings made because of staff vacancies over most teams in the section. There has been additional grant income for Safer Communities and Public Environmental Health. Also, additional car park income, recreational course fees and sports income.

#### Environmental Services

There has been increased use of agency and temporary staff to cover long term sickness. Income is reduced because of a drop in price for glass sold for recycling, together with a reduction in waste and recycling refuse sales and income. In addition there has been a reduction in pest treatment and street cleansing work, resulting in less income.

### Concern Key (based on YTD budget)

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	A
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	A
Anything else	G

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Budget £000's	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key
Chief Executives	298	337	39	R	409	458	49	R
<b>CHIEF EXECUTIVES Total</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>R</b>

**Reasons for major variance :**

**Chief Executives**

The overspend is because of posts filled by agency staff

**Concern Key (based on YTD budget)**

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	A
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	A
Anything else	G

## DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Budget £000's	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key
Strategic Planning & the Economy	498	505	7	G	553	555	2	G
Planning & Development Management	352	(190)	(542)	A	484	(84)	(568)	A
Regeneration & Housing	1,583	1,634	51	R	1,339	1,577	238	R
<b>DEVELOPMENT Total</b>	<b>2,433</b>	<b>1,949</b>	<b>(484)</b>	A	<b>2,376</b>	<b>2,048</b>	<b>(328)</b>	A

**Reasons for major variance :**

**Strategic Planning & the Economy**

**Public Protection & Development Management**

Planning Fees Income was significantly above budget for the first half year, but has eased in the third quarter. It is anticipated that this lower level of income will continue in the fourth quarter, although this is still at or about the budgeted level for the quarter.

**Regeneration & Housing**

Agency costs for cover on 3 key roles. Additional Professional Fees incurred by Delivery Team and although there is reduced income due to vacant units in Banbury, this has been offset by increased rentals in Bicester. Reserves are earmarked to offset this overspend, but these have not yet been released owing to the overall position for Development and the Council.

**Concern Key (based on YTD budget)**

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	A
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	A
Anything else	G

## RESOURCES

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Budget £000's	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key
Transformation	746	688	(58)	A	1,098	964	(134)	A
Finance & Procurement	1,169	964	(205)	A	1,472	1,209	(263)	A
Law & Governance	756	679	(77)	A	910	827	(83)	A
ICT	834	848	14	A	974	1,048	74	R
<b>RESOURCES Total</b>	<b>3,505</b>	<b>3,179</b>	<b>(326)</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>4,454</b>	<b>4,048</b>	<b>(406)</b>	<b>A</b>

**Reasons for major variance :**

**Transformation**

There are salary savings due to vacancies in Performance & Improvement, in addition there are savings for Cherwell Link and ICT costs.

**Finance & Procurement**

A decision to broaden the range of legal cases upon which the Council seeks to recover court costs, has resulted in significantly higher income than was anticipated in the budget. This area is very difficult to predict accurately as it is quite volatile, and will be monitored closely. There are also savings relating to staff vacancies.

**Law & Governance**

Income is higher than budgeted because of successful legal cases. In addition personal search fees are also higher than budgeted.

**ICT**

There have been additional software costs, there are earmarked reserves available to offset this, but these have not been released owing to the overall position for Resources and the Council.

Concern Key (based on YTD budget)

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	A
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	A
Anything else	G